

Environmental Assessment

THE REPORT ON FINDINGS FROM THE RESEARCH ON LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION PLAN




Municipality of Junik



...for my city!

Environmental Assessment

THE REPORT ON FINDINGS FROM THE
RESEARCH ON
LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL ACTION PLAN



Municipality of Junik

Content

INTRODUCTION	7
METHODOLOGY	8
JUNIK	
Distribution of samples in Partesh municipality.....	11
1. PERCEPTION FOR THE CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS	11
1.1. Current environmental status in the territory of your municipality	11
1.2. Five key problems in the environmental field of your municipality.....	12
1.3. Three key environmental polluters in the territory of your municipality	13
1.4. Two institutions which deal mostly with the problem solution in the environmental field.....	14
1.5. In case you personally have a problem related to environment, where would you first address to solve that problem	15
2. STRATEGY OF DEVELOPMENT AND INFORMATION.....	16
2.1. Are you informed with any of the goals of the municipal development strategy?.....	16
2.2. Does the municipality need a new development strategy?.....	17
2.3. What should be the target of future development in your municipality?	18
2.4. Does the current municipal development correspond with your needs?	19
2.5. Does the municipality have a person/service that deals with the environment protection?	20
2.6. Do you know any non-governmental organizations which actively deal with the issues of environment protection in the territory of your municipality?	20
2.7. The names of the organizations which the respondents know in their municipality	21
2.8. Are you satisfied with the level of information regarding the environmental status in the territory of your municipality?	21
2.9. Where do you get information about the environmental status in the municipality?.....	22
2.10. Do you think that you need more information about the environmental status in the territory of your municipality?	23
2.11. Is it needed a larger direct participation of the citizens in decision making concerning the environment?	23
2.12. Are you ready to be involved in the work voluntarily to solve some environmental municipal problems?.....	24

3. DEVELOPMENT VISION.....25

3.1. How do you think it will be the environmental status in the next 5 years
in the territory of your municipality?25

3.2. Circle 3 problems which most negatively influence the life quality of your neighbourhood.....26

CONCLUSIONS.....28

INTRODUCTION

With the aim of being more inclusive and to take into account the opinions of citizens regarding various environmental issues for the development of the Local Environmental Action Plans, during November 2011 it was conducted a field research with 10 selected municipalities concerning the development of the Local Environmental Action Plan (LEAP). The municipalities that expressed interest and have been selected to develop a LEAP are the following ones: Fushe Kosova, Mitrovica, Deçani, Hani i Elezit, Graçanica, Istogu, Obiliqi, Juniku, Podujeva and Partesh.

The findings from this research will be initially available to the working groups in the selected municipalities for LEAP development, in order to take into account the citizens' opinions from respective municipalities. The findings of the research will assist the members of the working groups to treat various topics during the LEAP development or enforce their arguments during the selection of different positioning in LEAP.

The field research was conducted by the non-government environmental organizations which act in the selected municipalities for LEAP development. During the field research the members of non-governmental organizations except interviewing the respondents based on the sample distribution, they have also informed them about the importance of LEAP and the possibility of their contribution regarding the LEAP development. Therefore, the implementation of the field research has resulted with direct information of more than 11.000 selected respondents (interviewed citizens) to participate in the research. The collected data in the field were further processed in the SPSS computer program, which program is specialized for producing results from the social quantitative results.

The findings of the research are presented in the chapters of the key findings and are divided for each municipality. The findings for each municipality are divided as follows: *perception of the current environmental status, the strategy of development and information and the developmental vision.*

METHODOLOGY

In order to implement this field research the Regional Environmental Centre-office in Kosovo (REC), has engaged environmental non-organizations which act in the selected municipalities for LEAP development. The role of the engaged municipalities has been to interview the respondents, to transmit the research data to the research coordinators and inform the interviewers briefly regarding LEAP.

Before the field research, REC-u organized one-day training for the researchers who have been selected by the respective non-governmental organizations for conducting the field research. In this training there was explained the content of the questionnaire; the way of questionnaire' administration; the way of conducting the interview; the distribution of the sample and the importance of its exact observance; confidentiality and the code of ethics during the interview.

The research was conducted in ten selected municipalities for LEAP development. The number of respondents for municipalities was selected based on the census which was carried out during spring 2011 (see the table below regarding the division of the number of respondents per municipalities). Further on, the sample of the respondents was divided based on the gender and age. The division between the genders was done based on the data of the last census, whereas the division of age groups was done taking into account the number of population which corresponds with the respective age and their social, economic and political status currently and in the near future. The division of the number of the respondents between the gender and age groups is presented in the following table.

Municipality	Gender	Male 51%					Female 49%					
	gr. age no. of respod.	>18 10%	18-25 20%	25-50 43%	50-65 20%	65+ 7%	>18 10%	18-25 20%	25-50 43%	50-65 20%	65+ 7%	
1 Deçan	500	26	51	110	51	17	25	49	106	49	16	
2 Fushe Kosova	2000	102	204	442	204	68	98	196	425	196	65	
	Fushe Kosova (ALB.-85%)	1700	87	173	376	173	58	83	167	361	167	55
	Fushe Kosova (Min.-15%)	300	15	31	66	31	10	15	29	64	29	10
3 Graçanica	500	26	51	110	51	17	25	49	106	49	16	
	Graçanica (Serb.-90%)	450	23	46	99	46	15	22	44	96	44	15
	Graçanica (Min.-10%)	50	3	5	11	5	2	2	5	11	5	2
4 Hani i Elezit	500	26	51	110	51	17	25	49	106	49	16	
5 Istog	500	26	51	110	51	17	25	49	106	49	16	
	Istog (ALB.-95%)	475	24	48	105	48	16	23	47	101	47	16
	Istog (Min.-5%)	25	1	3	6	3	1	1	2	5	2	1
6 Junik	500	26	51	110	51	17	25	49	106	49	16	
7 Mitrovica	3500	179	357	773	357	119	172	343	743	343	114	
	Mitrovica (ALB.-80%)	2800	143	286	619	286	95	137	274	594	274	91
	Mitrovica (Min.-20%)	700	36	71	155	71	24	34	69	149	69	23
8 Obiliq	500	26	51	110	51	17	25	49	106	49	16	
	Obiliq (ALB.-90%)	450	23	46	99	46	15	22	44	96	44	15
	Obiliq (Min.-10%)	50	3	5	11	5	2	2	5	11	5	2
9 Partesh	500	26	51	110	51	17	25	49	106	49	16	
10 Podujeva	2000	102	204	442	204	68	98	196	425	196	65	
	Podujeva (ALB.-95%)	1900	97	194	420	194	65	93	186	403	186	62
	Podujeva (Min - 5%)	100	5	10	22	10	3	5	10	21	10	3
No of respondents		11000	561	1122	2431	1122	374	539	1078	2335	1078	359

The respondents and the interviewed citizens were selected with the random method i.e for any starting point every third person was called for interview or every second house was knocked for interview. The respondents had the possibility to provide (or not) their data to be informed about LEAP development in their municipality. These data have also served to control the quality of the field research. A considerable number of the respondents, who provided their data, have been contacted to verify the validity of the field research – by sample distribution and by this quality control it has been achieved the validity of the research

After the data collection in the field, the questionnaires were administered in the so-called SPSS computer program and there have been generated data and graphics for the respondents' responses.

NOTE:

The graphics and the tables are with ordinal numbers (1.2.), (1.3.), (1.4.), (2.3.), (2.9.) and (3.1.)¹ in total they give a higher percentage than 100%. This is due to the data fusion from more than a table or a graphic in one single table or graphic. In this way it was enabled to get precisely the priority of various issues for the respective questions. This means that regarding the question (1.2) instead of presenting five different tables and graphics they are presented with a single table and graphic –which enables easier reading of the findings by the report readers.

¹ 1.2. Five key problems in the environmental field in your municipality; 1.3. three key environmental polluters in the territory of your municipality ; 1.4. Two institutions that deal mostly with the problem solutions in the environmental field; 2.3. What should be the target of future development in your municipality? 2.9. Where do you get information concerning the environmental status in your municipality; 3. 1. Circle 3 problems which most negatively influence the quality of life in your neighbourhood

Junik

Distribution of samples in Junik municipality

Municipality	Gender	Male (51%)					Female (49%)					
		>18	18-25	25-50	50-65	65+	>18	18-25	25-50	50-65	65+	
	Age # of resp.	10%	20%	43%	20%	7%	10%	20%	43%	20%	7%	
Junik		500	26	51	110	51	17	25	49	106	49	16



Regarding the implementation of this research in the urban area of Junik there have been interviewed 500 respondents. This distribution of the sample was further divided according to gender aspect: 51 % male and 49 % female, equal division according to the data of census carried out during spring 2011. The division of the sample continued further according to the age for both males and females: 10 % of the respondents constituted the age group under 18 years old; 20 % from the age group 18 to 25 years; 43 % from the age group 25 to 50 years; 20 % from the age group 50 to 65 years; and 7 % from the age group above 65 years. This age group division was based on the number of the respondents which constitute this age group and their reflection regarding the social, political and economical life, and the influence and the reflection that they might have in the near future.

1. PERCEPTION FOR THE CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL STATUS

1.1. Current environmental status in the territory of your municipality

		Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Don't know
Gender	Male	88 33.6%	83 31.7%	86 32.8%	5 1.9%
	Female	57 24.2%	96 40.7%	73 30.9%	10 4.2%
Total		145 29.1%	179 35.9%	159 31.9%	15 3.0%

In the question how do you evaluate the current environmental status in the territory of your municipality, the majority of the respondents from Junik responded that: the status in the territory of their municipality is satisfactory with the total of 35.9%, good with 29.1%, unsatisfactory with 31.9%. From these data we can draw a conclusion that citizens of Junik consider environmental situation in their municipality as satisfactory. This response does not differ a lot when it is compared between both genders. For more see the illustration in the following graphics.



1.2. Five key problems in the environmental field of your municipality



Gender		Municipal waste collected	Waste / sewage	Quality of potable water	Lack of municipal services	Bad local roads, paths, lack of parking	Lack of information and transparency	Uncontrolled use of agriculture land	Poor maintenance of green areas, parks and protective strips
		Male	193 38.6%	66 13.2%	59 11.8%	113 22.6%	176 35.2%	87 17.4%	108 21.6%
Female	177 35.4%	66 13.2%	65 13.0%	90 18.0%	159 31.8%	82 16.4%	76 15.2%	72 14.4%	
Total	370 74.0%	132 26.4%	124 24.8%	203 40.6%	335 67.0%	169 33.8%	184 36.8%	180 36.0%	

As the key environmental problems, the citizens of Junik have listed ¹:

- a) **Municipal waste management**, as the key problem;
- b) **Bad local roads, paths, lack of parking**, as the second more important problem;
- c) **Lack of municipal services**, as the third more important problem;
- d) **Uncontrolled use of agricultural land**, as the fourth more important problem and
- e) **Lack of maintenance of green areas, parks and protective strips**, are listed as the fifth more important problem.

Also in this case the listing of the environmental problems does not change regarding gender perception and for more information see the illustration of the graphics below.


¹ NOTE: The graphics and the tables are with ordinal numbers (1.2.), (1.3.), (1.4.), (2.3.), (2.9.) and (3.1.) .¹ In total they give a higher percentage than 100%. This is due to the data fusion from more than a table or a graphic in one single table or graphic. In this way it was enabled to get precisely the priority of various issues for the respective questions. This means that regarding the question (1.2) instead of presenting five different tables and graphics they are presented with a single table and graphic –which enables easier reading of the findings by the report readers.



1.3. Three key environmental polluters in the territory of your municipality

		Small economy	Agriculture	Sewage	Municipal waste	Traffic
Gender	Male	171 34.2%	137 27.4%	142 28.4%	165 33.0%	45 9.0%
	Female	140 28.0%	124 24.8%	123 24.6%	152 30.4%	37 7.4%
Total		311 62.2%	261 52.2%	265 53.0%	317 63.4%	82 16.4%

As the key environmental polluters in Junik municipality, the respondents have presented: **municipal waste, small economy and agriculture**. This division also does not change a lot regarding the gender perception. For more information see the graphical presentation.





As other environmental pollutants, citizens of Junik have identified: burning of forests, waste from community and not responsibility of citizens.

1.4. Two institutions which deal mostly with the problem solution in the environmental field

		Municipal government	Municipal public enterprise (water, waste, sewage, green areas)	Local information means	NGO or civil society	Citizens	Nobody
Gender	Male	216	32	1	35	151	35
		43.2%	6.4%	0.2%	7.0%	30.2%	7.0%
Gender	Female	191	20	3	38	133	37
		38.2%	4.0%	0.6%	7.6%	26.6%	7.4%
Total		407	52	4	73	284	72
		81.4%	10.4%	0.8%	14.6%	56.8%	14.4%


The respondents from *Junik* mostly rely on **municipal government** for problem solution in the environmental field, whereas as a second alternative they select the **citizens**. For more information see the graphical illustration.



1.5. In case you personally have a problem related to environment, where would you first address to solve that problem:

		Municipal office for environment (or municipal officer for environment)	Municipal inspectorate	Municipal public enterprise	NGO	Police
Gender	Male	234 91.1%	12 4.7%	0 0.0%	5 1.9%	5 1.9%
	Female	205 88.0%	8 3.4%	1 0.4%	10 4.3%	5 2.1%
Total		439 89.6%	20 4.1%	1 0.2%	15 3.1%	10 2.0%

For individual environmental problems citizens of Junik will initially be directed at the **municipal office for environment** and then at the **municipal inspectorate**. See the following graphical illustration.





Majority of citizens of Junik expressed that in case they have a problem related to environment then they will not know where to address it.

2. STRATEGY OF DEVELOPMENT AND INFORMATION

2.1. Are you informed with any of the goals of the municipal development strategy?

		Yes	No	Don't know
Gender	Male	73	144	45
		27.9%	55.0%	17.2%
	Female	56	140	40
		23.7%	59.3%	16.9%
Total		129	284	85
		25.9%	57.0%	17.1%



The majority of the interviewed citizens of *Junik* stated that they are not informed about the goal of the municipal development strategy with 67.0%; or that they are not aware that there exists such strategy with 17.1%; while only 25.9% express that they are aware of the goals of the municipal development strategy. For illustration see the following graphics.



2.2. Does the municipality need a new development strategy?

		Yes	No	Don't know
Gender	Male	234 89.3%	10 3.8%	17 6.5%
	Female	206 87.3%	7 3.0%	23 9.7%
Total		440 88.4%	17 3.6%	40 8.0%

Regarding the question if the municipality of *Junik* need a new development strategy, the majority of the interviewed citizens express that it needs with 88.4%, does not need with 3.6%, and they do not know if something like this exist with 8.0%. Concerning this question, both females and males have almost the same opinion. For more information see the illustration below.





2.3. What should be the target of future development in your municipality?

		Industry	Handicraft	Agriculture	Tourism	Production of ecologically clean products
Gender	Male	13 2.6%	4 0.8%	243 48.6%	247 49.4%	6 1.2%
	Female	12 2.4%	8 1.6%	214 42.8%	219 43.8%	7 1.4%
Total		25 5.0%	12 2.4%	457 91.4%	466 93.2%	13 2.6%

As a target for future development of *Junik*, the interviewed citizens list the following ones:

- i) Tourism,**
- ii) Agriculture,**
- iii) Industry,**
- iv) Production of ecologically clean products,** and others.


See the graphical illustration for the given importance by the interviewers for the main fields of their municipal development in the future.



2.4. Does the current municipal development correspond with your needs?

		Yes	Very few	No
Gender	Male	51 19.5%	179 68.3%	32 12.2%
	Female	50 21.2%	148 62.7%	38 16.1%
Total		101 20.3%	327 65.7%	70 14.1%


The majority of the interviewed citizens express that the current development of *Junik* does not correspond to the citizens needs: 65.7% of them express that the current municipal development corresponds very little to their needs, 14.1% doesn't correspond with their needs, while 20.3% think that the municipal development correspond with the citizens' needs. See the following graphical presentation for visual illustration.



2.5. Does the municipality have a person/service that deals with the environment protection?

		Yes	No	Don't know
Gender	Male	67 25.6%	80 30.5%	115 43.9%
	Female	45 19.1%	66 28.1%	124 52.8%
Total		112 22.5%	146 29.4%	239 48.1%



A considerable number of citizens are aware that the *Junik* municipality has the person or the service that deals with environment protection. A percentage of 22.5% express that the municipality has the person or the service for environment protection, 29.4% express that the municipality does not have such service, whereas 48.1% do not know if the municipality provides this service or not. See the following graphics for visual illustration.



2.6. Do you know any non-governmental organizations which actively deal with the issues of environment protection in the territory of your municipality?

		Yes	No
Gender	Male	16 6.1%	246 93.9%
	Female	17 7.2%	219 92.8%
Total		33 6.6%	465 93.4%

The majority of the interviewed citizens of *Junik* are not aware about the existence or the activity of civil society organizations that deal with the issues of environment protection. A percentage of 93.4 of the interviewers responded that they do not know if there are such organizations in the territory of their municipality.





2.7. The names of the organizations which the respondents know in their municipality:

NGO Rrasa e Zogut, NGO Gurra, NGO-Qershiza, Vizioni, REC, Shekulli 21.

2.8. Are you satisfied with the level of information regarding the environmental status in the territory of your municipality?

		Yes	In general	Very few	No	I don't know how it can be informed about this
Gender	Male	41 15.6%	99 37.8%	91 34.7%	13 5.0%	18 6.9%
	Female	26 11.0%	98 41.5%	80 33.9%	20 8.5%	12 5.1%
Total		67 13.5%	197 39.6%	171 34.3%	33 6.6%	30 6.0%



From the research' findings the interviewed citizens of *Junik* in general are satisfied with the level of information about the environmental status of their municipality. For this only 6.6% of them responded that they are not satisfied at all with the level of information, 34.3% very little satisfied, 39.6% in general satisfied, while only 13.5% expressed that they are satisfied with the level of information. For more see the following graphical illustration.



2.9. Where do you get information about the environmental status in the municipality?

		Daily newspapers	Radio stations	Television	Municipal competent bodies	Other (Write)
Gender	Male	207 41.4%	15 3.0%	218 43.6%	160 32.0%	8 1.6%
	Female	178 35.6%	21 4.2%	200 40.0%	128 25.6%	2 0.4%
Total		385 77.0%	36 7.2%	418 83.6%	288 57.6%	10 2.0%


Regarding the informational media about the environmental status, the citizens of *Junik*, have selected **television** as a **primary** medium, **daily newspapers** as a **secondary** medium and the **municipal competent bodies** as a tertiary **medium**. For visual illustration see the following graphics.



2.10. Do you think that you need more information about the environmental status in the territory of your municipality?

		Yes	No	Don't know
Gender	Male	249 95.0%	5 1.9%	8 3.1%
	Female	225 95.3%	1 0.4%	10 4.2%
Total		474 95.2%	6 1.2%	18 3.6%



In the question if you need more information about the environmental status in the territory of your municipality the majority of the interviewed citizens of *Junik* with 95.2% responded that they need more information regarding the environmental status in their municipality. This response does not differ a lot between the male and female respondents. For more see the following graphical illustrations.



2.11. Is it needed a larger direct participation of the citizens in decision making concerning the environment?

		Yes	No	Don't know
Gender	Male	256 97.7%	3 1.1%	3 1.1%
	Female	225 95.3%	6 2.5%	5 2.1%
Total		481 96.6%	9 1.8%	8 1.6%



A considerable majority of the interviewed citizens of *Junik* expressed that it is necessary the direct participation of the citizens in the decision making processes. When expressed in percentage 96.6% of them express that the participation is necessary. In addition this percentage does not differ a lot between the male and female respondents. For graphical illustration see the following graphics.



2.12. Are you ready to be involved in the work voluntarily to solve some environmental municipal problems?

		Yes	No	Don't know
Gender	Male	207 79.0%	38 14.5%	17 6.5%
	Female	184 78.0%	31 13.1%	21 8.9%
Total		391 78.5%	69 13.9%	38 7.6%

From the findings of the research, the citizens of *Junik* are ready to be engaged voluntarily in solution of some environmental problems in their community. A high percentage of 78.5% are ready to do voluntary work; 7.6% do not know if they would be engaged whereas 13.9% will not be engaged in a voluntary work. In general the readiness of the males to engage in voluntary works is higher than the female ones. For illustration see the following graphics.





3. DEVELOPMENT VISION

3.1. How do you think it will be the environmental status in the next 5 years in the territory of your municipality?

		Better	Same	Worse	Don't know
Gender	Male	211 80.5%	37 14.1%	6 2.3%	8 3.1%
	Female	179 75.8%	40 16.9%	14 5.9%	3 1.3%
Total		390 78.3%	77 15.5%	20 4.0%	11 2.2%

The majority of the interviewed citizens of *Junik* are optimistic concerning the future environmental status. In the question what do you think that the environmental status will be in five (5) next years in the territory of your municipality, the majority of the respondents responded it will be better 78.3%, same 15.5%, and worse 4.0%. The males and the females share almost the same opinion. For illustration see the following tables.





3.2. Circle 3 problems which most negatively influence the life quality of your neighbourhood

		2. Landfills of municipal waste/waste	3. Waste / sewage	5. Quality of potable water	7. Bad local roads, paths, lack of parking	8. Lack of information and transparency for works	9. Uncontrolled use of agriculture land
Gender	Male	185	47	47	136	80	87
		37.0%	9.4%	9.4%	27.2%	16.0%	17.4%
Female	166	38	43	117	73	84	
		33.2%	7.6%	8.6%	23.4%	14.6%	16.8%
Total	351	85	90	253	153	171	
		70.2%	17.0%	18.0%	50.6%	30.6%	34.2%

Regarding the question which is the three problems which most negatively influence the life quality in your neighbourhood, the respondents from Junik have selected:

- i) **Landfills of municipal waste**, as the first problem;
- ii) **Bad local roads, paths, lack of parking**, as the second problem and
- iii) **Uncontrolled use of agricultural land**, as the third problem.

For more see the graphic illustration on the problems which most negatively influence the quality of life in their neighbourhood.



CONCLUSIONS

The majority of the research' findings reconfirm our impression regarding various environmental problems which exist in respective municipalities. Nevertheless, each municipality is distinguished regarding their environmental features, as for example the primary problem of Hani i Elezit, Obiliq and Fushe Kosova is related to air pollution and concerning these three municipalities it is known very well the cause of air pollution. In regards to other municipalities, they are not faced with this problem as a primary one.

In the part regarding *current environmental perception* for all the municipalities, the response of the respondents is that the current environmental situation is not good and this implies that there should be done more regarding the improvement of the environmental situations. Regarding this, they see the local government, municipal public enterprises and the citizens themselves responsible to improve the environmental situation in the territory of their municipality. Depending on the municipalities, some of the problems that require immediate address are as follows: *Waste management, Sewage system, the quality of potable water, non-maintenance of the green areas, parks and protection stripes, Noise, the quality of the water in rivers and canals, the lack of information and transparency, the uncontrolled use of the agricultural land, the lack of the municipal services, the quality of the air, the polluted industrial waters and other problems.*

In the part concerning *the strategy of development and information*, the majority of the interviewed respondents expressed that they are not informed with the goals of municipal development strategy; their municipality needs a new development strategy and the current development do not meet the needs of the citizens. Therefore, the municipal administration should develop a platform of communication with its citizens and include them in the decision-making process –in this case in the development of LEAP. The research confirmed that the citizens take information mainly from television, local radios, daily press and internet. These media should have been channels through which the citizens are informed. However, the citizens have selected other channels which are specific for the respective municipalities.

The interviewed citizens have a very little knowledge concerning the environmental organizations that act in the respective municipalities or concerning the municipal service that deals with environmental issues. In relation to this, the environmental organizations and also the municipal service for environmental issues should be more present in the community through their activities and be more voiced in addressing the environmental problems. The most verified fact by this research is that the majority of the respondents are ready to do a voluntary environmental work with the aim of improving the environment in their neighbourhood. This fact should be used by the environmental non-governmental organization and also by the municipal authorities to have allied citizens in the environmental actions.

In the part of *development vision*, the majority of the respondents hope that in the future the environmental status will be improved for better. This expectation can be achieved by the LEAP development and its implementation in practise; nevertheless they should be always properly informed and involve the citizens and the group of interest in the decision-making process.



SWEDEN



REPUBLIC OF KOSOVO
GOVERNMENT OF KOSOVA
MINISTRY OF MESP AND MLGA



REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER